



- A. Most of my calls to ICW went unanswered and un-returned the few times ICW did return my calls Jessica Smith stated that since the case is under appeals she is unable to schedule any visitation until the Appellate process is completed.
- B. In conversations with GAL Alvadeo she states that she is no longer assigned to Samantha Brewer's case and unable to assist in any way. Astrid Alvadeo can attest to what I am saying is true. We have discussed these issues. Justin Brewer even spoke to Astrid Alvadeo about not being allowed to have visitation. Astrid stated that she would look into it for Justin but there is nothing she can do for me.
- C. Since that conversation ICW set up one sibling visit at an agency that once had a restraining order against me. Also they have made false reports proven to be false after being investigated by the Clallam County Sherriff and FBI which led to the wrongful removal of Samantha Brewer and Justin Brewer. Please reference the Court history and my many requests for visitation to no longer be supervised. There has never been a reason for supervision. This Court and ICW have stated that they see no qualms in my parenting ability; the only reason the visits are supervised is to continue the slander campaign and false reporting.
- D. To refresh the Court's memory on 12-10-16 Judge Colegrove stated that we were unable to discuss Samantha Brewer's case; that we are only here to discuss Justin Brewer. Judge Colegrove then suggested that I file a motion to Show Cause in regards to my concerns and I did file such Motion on 5-2-17. This was after calling the Court throughout this time frame requesting whether a new attorney was assigned to me yet I was informed consistently that there was no one to represent me at this time.
- E. After not being provided an attorney for 8 months, being unable to have visitation with Samantha Brewer, and being ordered to not to file anything on my behalf unless done so only through my attorney; did I take it upon myself to file the Motion to Show Cause in fear that there would be negative recourse if no action was taken.
- F. When I filed the Motion to Show Cause I requested copies of the Final Decision from the Appellate Court since the Appellate Court was only asking Judge Colegrove to show how she used the law. I assumed that the Appellate Court Judge would make a final decision after seeing Judge Colegrove's Amended Guardianship Order that still

never showed her use of law and that also falsely reported Dr, O'Leary's recommendations from an evaluation conducted with Justin Brewer, presenting it as a recommendation made in regards to Samantha Brewer when in fact Samantha Brewer, has to date, never been evaluated by Dr. O'Leary and no evaluation of Samantha Brewer conducted by Dr. O'Leary was ever submitted to the Guardianship proceeding. That statement is not admissible and should be stricken. I was then informed that the Final Appellate Court decision was not in the building, that they were waiting to have it returned from the Appellate Court, and that when it is returned I will receive a copy; to date I have not received a copy.

Jessica Smith has falsely reported yet again that no request for visitation was made by me. That may be true in the behalf of the foster placement but is clearly false in my behalf. I can provide call records and email records proving that I have been requesting phone numbers to call my daughter, for Skype contact information and for visitation to take place at my house or our local parks, and for the supervision as though we are criminals to end, and to give our family a chance to heal as the Quilute Family Code states is my right.

Example 1: In Jessica Smith's report filed in the Samantha Brewer review hearing of 5-9-17, Ms. Smith states that the school that Justin Brewer attends has serious concerns with Justin Brewer's mental well being, and that the school has contacted Jessica smith many times since 12-10-16, worried that I, his biological father, may not be able to meet Justin Brewer's needs. This is foreshadowing of what I have endured, and continue to endure in the attempt to once again illegally remove Justin Brewer. under false reporting by Jessica Smith—just the way ICW did in 2009 where one month before the false reporting of sexual allegations were ever made I was Court Ordered to have a psycho-sexual evaluation. Please see records. See attached letter from Justin Brewer's principal proving the claims made by Jessica Smith to be false.

Example 2: Paul Siewell has twisted truth to suit his needs with no regard to fact. I have had three psycho-sexual evaluations completed, four mental health evaluations, and one mental health evaluation with a parenting component that all concluded that I am mentally fit and more than capable to nurture and parent my children. They all even went as far to state their opinion that they were perplexed as to why my children were removed from my care in the first place. Please see Dr. O'Leary, Dr. Trawick, Dr. Packerd, Dr. Koughman, and at the start of this case the opinion of Hoppa, this tribe's counselor. Siewell is grasping at straws and has run out of ideas aside from starting this process of slander over again.

Example 3: Siewell's version of the events at Concerned Citizens with Yvette is false. See the Court records, declarations from Vivian Lee and my filings in reference to this matter to address the manner of the events factually in this incident. Yvette had received a text message from Tony Foster half-way through the visit stating that he was going to report that I reeked of cannabis and he was going to call the authorities. Yvette then ended the visit. I asked Yvette if I could speak to her without Samantha present. After Samantha had been picked up Yvette then approached my car where I explained that I was Court-ordered years ago by Judge Colegrove to continue all medications as prescribed including my medical cannabis. After Tony Foster's intentional interference with my visitation took place it was Jessica Smith that stopped all visitation, both supervised and therapeutic visits. The Court has recognized that I am a medical cannabis patient after I was diagnosed with PTSD, and non epileptic seizures, condition caused by severe emotional trauma, i.e. the wrongful removal of my children. (Reference documentation in case history). I have never tried to hide this fact; it was evident and never contested in the 7 years I have had to provide UA 2-3 times a week. I have never had or been diagnosed to have a drug or alcohol dependency and I have had 3 drug and alcohol evaluations by the mandatory Order of the tribe. all of which found it unnecessary for my involvement in treatment. However, I went to treatment by Order of the tribe anyway to which I was awarded with a Certificate of Achievement and completion twice.

Example 4: The continuous false reporting provided by foster placement is erroneous. This Court has heard the testimony of Rachel Hardies where she states her frustrations in the lack of effort by ICW to schedule more visitation so that a stronger bond could be established between my daughter and me; that in two years I was only granted 14 visits and that this is not by any means a "Herculean" effort as the fosters, Siewell, GAL, and ICW claim. The Court only required me to establish a bond between my daughter and me, where I provided photos and videos of our interactions, the testimony of Rachel Hardies stating Samantha knows who I am, says I love you to me, runs to me, hugs me, smiles and responds appropriately. Rachel has testified that she has never witnessed Samantha display any anxiety or abnormal behaviors while with me even with the little contact Samantha and I have been provided. This is the same tactic ICW and the tribe used against me with my son, Justin. Now that Justin is back in my care he is flourishing and has improved greatly. (See attached letter from Justin's school principal).

Example 5: Jessica Smith claimed there was no emotional connection between Justin and I just as they are now attempting to say about Samantha. Her credibility is dishonorable at best; the Court was informed of Jessica Smith's minimal training and capability and that she does not have meaningful or minimum credentials or training to voice any opinion. Jessica Smith has no PHD, counselor, mental health therapist, or any other formal training in social work. It is my understanding through my conversations with David Simmons, the Director of Government Affairs of NICWA that Jessica Smith is being strongly recommended for dismissal from her position due to her lack of outlined credentials required to hold her job position. If this Court will allow my photos of our family supervised visitation where a photo is worth a thousand words, the Court would have no question as to whether or not a bond exists between Samantha and me. It is more than evident that despite intentionally limited visitations, the bond with my daughter was evolving well, until once again, visitations were and remain unavailable after Guardianship appointment.

Example 6: I would like to address the comment made by Siewell suggesting that if visitation were to re-initiate physical and emotional safety of Samantha would be put at risk. A cursory review of all Court and case records, providing factual case history to governing authorities validates over an excruciating period of time, that I have repeatedly asked all tribal resources for help in an attempt to aid in the reunification my family, as required under the Indian Child Welfare Act. Merely because this tribe has failed to follow ICWA or assist me with rein unification with my daughter does not justify any words or actions that suggest that I present any harm to my biological daughter or anyone. On the contrary, emotional harm and direct threats to my rightful parental relationship with Samantha, like the actions of Tony and Narcissus, have fueled false reporting in order to de-facto abscond with my daughter under color of tribal perceived "law;" this is compounded with slandering me throughout the community, and extended community, resulting in filing for Guardianship prematurely three times in an attempt to stop the reunification process.

Tony Foster, utilizing his various leadership positions in the Quileute Tribal Council and his relatives in tribal council have coerced and directed actions of this Court. Tony Foster grossly violated HIPPA laws by requesting that my son's therapist directly contact the new Tribal Chairman, Chaz Woodruff, again falsely reporting I am a danger to Justin in collusion, to coerce this Court through Tribal Council influence. Siewell stated himself this Court does not follow, adhere or recognize any law; they follow the directive of the Tribal Council. They are a federally

a recognized tribe that can do anything they want. (See Guardianship transcripts). What I have stated and testified about the two judges and prosecutor that I have named in this communication is true and correct.

Judge Doherty refused to apply law upon the request of Steve Robins where Doherty responded, "This is the Old West..." and proceeded to deny me all of my civil rights, issue an illegal Restraining Order preventing me from seeing my children until his Dismissal. Judge Colegrove, even after crying with me in Court admitted many times of the mishandling of this case—the wrong doings of the tribe and what a mess the tribe has made of my family through this case history. Yet Judge Colegrove, too, fails to apply the laws that govern this Court or the supreme laws of the land.

When Judge Colegrove lost her composure ranting about "all that she has done for me but I just won't shut up; that if I had just shut up I would have gotten my kids back a long time ago" is when I knew I would never find justice in this Quillete Tribal Court. I thought deeply on the phrase "just kept my mouth shut." It was then I came to the resolve that I will never just shut up or just roll over for the sake of the tribe; that I have been cooperative, compliant and subservient to this Court and all tribal agencies and participants for the past eight years in the hope that justice will prevail. The false hope Judge Colegrove gave me was far more painful than the blatant hate Doherty openly presented, or as far as Tony Foster being the provocateur in this illegal removal of my children and the destruction of my family as explained throughout this case history.

I will explain for the record one more time. Back in 2009 Samantha Brewer was due to be reunified to my care in just a few days ICW made a recommendation for me to have a psycho-sexual evaluation done. Days later Tony and Narcissus foster returned from Washington DC I had Samantha for the week they were gone as a part of the reunification process. It happened to be Fathers Day when they returned; they came to my house ahead of the scheduled pick up time, demanding that they have Samantha for Father's Day. They then took Samantha early. The next day I went to ICW Margo Gilmore to complain about the event and Margo Gilmore told me to sit down. Then, dropping a bomb shell on me explained that Tony and Narcissus Foster wished to adopt Samantha, that "everyone" felt that Samantha's special needs would be too demanding on me as a single parent with two children. I immediately revoked the voluntary placement in writing. Without notifying me or my attorney of the hearing, the tribe and ICW motioned to change the voluntary placement to become a MINOC case that neither I or my attorney was allowed to be involved in that was granted by Judge Doherty, with no grounds to do so. Then Tony and Narcissus Foster made a false report to La Push police officer Michelle

Foster (their son) that Justin had disclosed sexual abuse by me. This was investigated by the Clallam County Sheriff and the FBI and proven to be false. Ever since, all allegations have been proven to be false I have been consistently demanding the return of my children consistently in compliance with all Court Orders only to be met by the failure of this Court to adhere to the law.

The attitude of all officials respecting the return of my children has been demonstrated to nullify and forever destroy my rights as an American citizen, an Army veteran, a nurturing and competent parent. My rights within this tribal system are futile and thus far, non-existent. Aside from being immoral, this conduct is illegal treatment of any American citizen by any Court in the United States, including the Quilute Tribal Court.

The only "lose tethers to reality" is when referring to the Foster placement and their spokesperson. Siewell may be surprised if he were to do his job and look into the stack of police reports in the public records and the reports of Mary Sherwood, where she informs the Court of my house being burned down, and all the many death threats that I reported to the police and ICW; threats made by the Foster Placement's family, friends, extended family and the family of my ex-wife. Siewell would know that my statements are true and correct and that Siewell's assertions have no legal credibility or authority. We know Siewell has access to the full case file although he is not supposed to have anything but the Guardianship case. Siewell, as a representative of the Court has, on many occasions, lied to this Court intentionally giving false case history as if it were fact in attempt to mislead this Court and slander me, alleging that I am a danger or harm to Samantha, alleging that I have not made any attempts to reunify with Samantha, and that the Quilute Tribal Court does not have to follow the U.S. Supreme Court law of the land. These statements and actions do not reflect the intentions of an honest or intelligent spokesperson for that matter.

Let the case history show this to be true. It appears that Siewell had some help in writing his request to the Court this time most of Siewell's previous filings to the Court are less than coherent. Tony Foster is not a man of truth He states that his home is safe from any tsunami in the guardianship hearing. Tony Foster testifies that the land their house is built on is at extreme risk in a documentary created to aid the tribe in their attempt to be given more land by the federal government where Tony Foster presents himself in an official capacity of a law enforcement officer and a tribal council member at large. The tribe was granted the extended territory giving the tribe more land, yet Tony Foster never moved after being given the opportunity and free land to move on to. How can he testify the same house that has never moved is both safe and at extreme risk of tsunami? Both claims he made under oath cannot be

simultaneously true. Tony Foster as a law enforcement officer is well aware of the laws and regulations in regards to transporting children of Samantha's age and size in a motor vehicle; yet Tony Foster is driving down the road one hand on the steering wheel one hand operating his cell phone to record a video of Samantha in the front seat of the car without a child seat or seat belt, driving down the road, radio blaring with the window down. This video is on Tony Foster's Facebook showing his blatant disregard to the law and the fact that Tony has demonstrated his inability to protect Samantha by doing so, proving he is a detrimental endangerment to Samantha and her well being. This alone is grounds for the removal of Samantha from the foster placement and the return of Samantha to her biological father immediately.

To add insult to injury the tribe and ICW continued to slander not only myself but my whole family. Most importantly my terminally ill mother was denied all visitation rights with the exception of one 30 minute visit before her untimely passing due to breast cancer. Furthermore, the fact that ICW tried to use the one time I left the state of Washington to be at my mother's death bedside in her greatest time of need against me in Court—the only missed visits aside from one sickness, and snow bad weather day for Samantha's safety; the other missed visits were at the fault of the foster placement refusals to comply with visitation. Let the record show this to be true; this is the case history. I ask this case to conclude and my daughter to be returned to me so we may be given a true chance to heal as a whole.

As to Samantha having a voice, if it were truly Samantha's uninfluenced voice given the case history, I am not willing to believe that Samantha would be free of influence, but more likely to say what the foster placement tells her to say. The foster placement has been proven to use rewards as influence to end sessions early at Samantha's request or disciplinary action if she displays affection towards me when in the presence of the Fosters, proven from the testimony of Rachel Hardies, Yvette Two Feathers' reports, transcripts and all the many declarations that have been filed with this Court over eight harrowing years. not to mention that the tribes prosecuting attorney Emily Howett stated the following in her brief "the tribe agrees that the legal procedural history of the case as stated by Mr. Brewer in his opening brief is the correct recitation of the facts," Immediately after this was filed, Emily Howett's contract was terminated.

I have complied with and pursued all available remedies through this Court and am left no choice but to exercise my rights as a United States citizen and Veteran that fought in combat in order to protect the very same rights I have been intentionally and maliciously denied by this Court system. This Court and tribal government leaves me no alternative other than to exercise my right to the freedom of speech and reach out to any and all governing agencies, social media outlets, and alternative legal and judicial processes, as a last resort. I have a moral

obligation and duty to report and expose the wrongdoings of this tribe and its tribal Court system and its ICW department in my case, the mismanagement and wrongful death of the two children while in the tribes care, and to inform United States citizens of the mismanagement of their tax dollars.

Every thing I have stated is factual; let the case history and public record show this to be true. If your children were wrongfully taken and a Court never provided you timely notice, due process, free speech or impartial justice, or used law or granted you any constitutional rights what would you or any loving parent do?, Could you endure eight years of being denied all of your civil rights, constitutional rights, and inherent rights to freely raise, nurture and provide for your own children? I am attaching declarations from Justin Brewer, my son, my step daughter, photos of supervised family visits, Tony Foster's testimony in Guardianship hearing transcripts, video from Tony's Facebook, tribal tsunami informational video, and a letter from Justin Brewer's school principal.

It is without question that for eight years as an American citizen, I have cooperated and complied with this Court and Quileute tribal social systems, and am clearly at their mercy. Do what you will, but as Samantha's rightful, legal and biological father, I will never give up pursuing the return of my child, Samantha Brewer, at the earliest opportunity. This is not a threat; it is my right and my duty.

SIGNED:

---

Eric Brewer-McCloud Brewer

---

DATE