

## Is Child Protective Services Trafficking Children?

By Megan Fox | December 27, 2018



(Getty Images)

Newsweek recently ran an article by Michael Dolce called "We Have Set Up a System to Sex Traffic American Kids," in which he dropped staggering statistics that should make American parents take action.

Here's the ugly truth: most Americans who are victims of sex trafficking come from our nation's own foster care system. It's a deeply broken system that leaves thousands vulnerable to pimps as children and grooms them for the illegal sex trade as young adults...Most people don't know about our nation's foster care to sex trafficking pipeline, but the facts are sobering. The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children (NCMEC) found that "of the more than 18,500 endangered runaways reported to NCMEC in 2016, one in six were likely victims of child sex trafficking. Of those, 86 percent were in the care of social services when they went missing.

The outcomes of law enforcement efforts against sex traffickers repeatedly support the NCMEC estimate. In a 2013 FBI 70-city nationwide raid, 60 percent of the victims came from foster care or group homes. In 2014, New York authorities estimated that 85 percent of sex trafficking victims were previously in the child welfare system.

In 2012, Connecticut police rescued 88 children from sex trafficking; 86 were from the child welfare system. And even more alarming: the FBI discovered in a 2014

nationwide raid that many foster children rescued from sex traffickers, including children as young as 11, were never reported missing by child welfare authorities.

In Kansas, the number of missing foster children prompted a class-action lawsuit this past November. Local Wichita press reported the heinous claims:

On Friday, a class-action lawsuit was filed in federal court against Gov. Jeff Colyer and Gina Meier-Hummel, secretary of the state's child welfare system, along with officials of two other agencies, claiming children in the Kansas foster care system have been treated so poorly that they've suffered mentally or fled from foster homes. In some cases, they have been trafficked for sex, sexually abused inside adoptive homes or in one instance reportedly raped inside a child welfare office, the suit says.

Kansas authorities have only been able to recover 18 of the 81 missing children in the foster system. Interestingly, the effort to find the missing children did not get started until after the lawsuit was filed, according to the *Wichita Eagle*. According to the numbers, all 50 states have similar problems.

Now deceased Georgia Senator Nancy Schaefer wrote extensively on the CPS abuse and corruption and fought hard while she was alive to bring awareness to the sex trafficking happening through corrupt government institutions. Schaefer was a leading voice against CPS and discovered many abuses until she was murdered in what authorities say was a murder suicide committed by her husband. Many people who work in the same field of uncovering CPS abuse do not believe the official findings. The news coverage of her death never even mentioned her life's work, which was exposing the financial corruption of the state child welfare system that takes children away from imperfect and often poor but loving homes in exchange for federal dollars.

In 2007, Nancy Schaefer wrote a report to the Georgia Assembly that detailed the abuses she uncovered:

In this report, I am focusing on the Georgia Department of Family and Children Services (DFCS). However, I believe Child Protective Services nationwide has become corrupt and that the entire system is broken almost beyond repair. I am convinced parents and families should be warned of the dangers.

The Department of Child Protective Services, known as the Department of Family and Children Service (DFCS) in Georgia and other titles in other states, has become a "protected empire" built on taking children and separating families. This is not to say that there are not those children who do need to be removed from wretched situations and need protection. This report is concerned with the children and parents caught up in "legal kidnapping," ineffective policies, and DFCS who do does not remove a child or children when a child is enduring torment and abuse. (See Exhibit A and Exhibit B)

The report is riveting and must be read in its entirety, but some of the most damaging information she revealed included that CPS is a for-profit business that depends on the removal of children in order to get paid.

The Adoption and the Safe Families Act, set in motion by President Bill Clinton, offered cash "bonuses" to the states for every child they adopted out of foster care. In order to receive the "adoption incentive bonuses" local child protective services need more children. They must have merchandise (children) that sell and you must have plenty of them so the buyer can choose. Some counties are known to give a \$4,000 bonus for each child adopted and an additional \$2,000 for a "special needs" child. Employees work to keep the federal dollars flowing;

- that there is double dipping. The funding continues as long as the child is out of the home. When a child in foster care is placed with a new family then "adoption bonus funds" are available. When a child is placed in a mental health facility and is on 16 drugs per day, like two children of a constituent of mine, more funds are involved;
- that there are no financial resources and no real drive to unite a family and help keep them together;

What better way for child predators to find a pool of children to prey on? Foster children are considered at "high risk" for abuse. Meanwhile, biological parents are gagged by illegal court orders from talking to the press about having their children unfairly removed from them and put into a system set up to abuse them.

Thousands of parents report to *Medical Kidnap* the illegal gag orders imposed upon them by judges who claim to want "privacy for the child." This is the state's excuse for trying to silence the free speech of parents who are being railroaded by a system set up to destroy their families. At the same time, states put the photographs of the children who have had their parents ripped away from them by the state onto websites anyone can access — the sites include their names and sometimes details about the abuse they have suffered — in order to get them "adopted."

How this is good for the privacy of the child is a mystery. The websites have a creepy feel to them because they allow anyone to search for a child in the foster system by gender, age, and "at-risk" status. It's like Amazon for kid shopping. Known pedophiles have admitted to searching foster care sites in order to find children to abuse.

Nathan Larson, who ran for Congress in Virginia this year, posted in online forums about this very thing. As reported by the Huffington Post, Larson wrote:

Why doesn't every pedo just focus on making money so they can get a pedo-wife and then either impregnate her with some f\*\*\*toys or adopt some f\*\*\*toys? That would

accommodate both those who are and aren't into incest. And of course, the adoption process lets you pick a boy or a girl.

He is correct. Here is a website called Adopt Us Kids, where children's photographs are posted from every state with personal information about them. This ad from the Kentucky Adoption Profile Exchange is so disturbing — it reads like a personal ad for a child searching for her next abuser.



Please meet Jasmine. Jasmine is a beautiful and bright young lady who likes to keep everyone entertained! ....Jasmine is really a **people pleaser** and looks to others or reassurance for a job well done...Jasmine will do best as the youngest child in a family that has structure, patience and the knowledge of the **effects of trauma on children**. (Emphasis mine)

This is an advertisement for an abused child who is at very high risk for more abuse. This website is not behind any password protection for verified eligible parents. It's open for the general public to browse through. Her face is not blacked out on the site (but is here for her privacy). A person like Nathan Larson looking for children to abuse is given a veritable menu of children at risk in his neighborhood by the state! This flies in the face of all the judges claiming that parents who are suffering parental rights termination must be gagged for the "privacy of the child." If they were truly concerned with their privacy, they would not put them online for predators to browse through.

Countless parents have contacted parental rights organizations and advocates like Nancy Schaefer with stories of being forced to give up their parental rights — under the threat of never being able to see them again if they don't — then watched their children adopted out to others through websites just like this one. Most of these parents have never been charged with a crime, never spent one day in criminal court, and yet had their rights removed by a civil court judge. Not only that, but grandparents are regularly denied custody in favor of strangers.

Why is this allowed? Why are children removed from families without warrants or trials by jury in criminal court? Any Google search for "family court corruption" will show you pages of horror stories of parents denied due process. Where is the outcry? There is no shortage of news reports of foster care abuse, like the <u>Texas CPS caseworker arrested for soliciting</u> sex with a minor, or the pedophile ring found in Arizona foster care that abused countless

foster children, selling them for sex over and over for decades, or the many stories of foster care group home abuse where minors were sold into prostitution.

These stories are enough to break your heart many times over, like the case of Jesse Williams, whose "adoptive mother" tied him and his siblings to beds and forced them to urinate and defecate in their rooms. He had no food in the refrigerator when he went missing and turned up dead in the desert. Even news agencies are reluctant to admit that this woman they call his "mother" was his foster parent. The term "adoptive mother" only appears once in this report, and in all other reports she is referred to as his mother. The truth is, we don't know who his real family is or why he was put into a home where CPS investigated and found abusive conditions so numerous as to require reams of paper to report it. They did not remove him or the other children until after Jesse was found dead. No one has gone to jail for his death, even though a cadaver dog alerted to the trunk of his adopted "mother's" car and there are stacks of CPS reports showing that she abused him constantly.

These types of stories are happening all over the country. Where are the congressional advocates for these children and families like the ones in Nancy Schaefer's report? Perhaps they're nervous they'll wind up just like them if they expose this billion-dollar industry. CPS needs to be investigated yesterday by the feds to find out what exactly they've been doing to American families.

The closing remarks in Schaefer's 2007 report are still valid today. "I have witnessed such injustice and harm brought to these families that I am not sure if I even believe reform of the system is possible! The system cannot be trusted. It does not serve the people. It obliterates families and children simply because it has the power to do so. Children deserve better. Families deserve better. It's time to pull back the curtain and set our children and families free."